San Bernardino District - Civil 247 West Third Street

San Bernardino, CA. 924150210

\_\_\_\_\_\_

CASE NO: CIVDS1821780

CARPENTER ZUCKERMAN & ROWLEY LLP 407 BRYANT CIRCLE STE F OJAI CA 93023

NOTICE OF TRIAL SETTING CONFERENCE

IN RE: SMITH -V- JACUZZI

THIS CASE HAS BEEN ASSIGNED TO: BRYAN F FOSTER IN DEPARTMENT S22 FOR ALL PURPOSES.

Notice is hereby given that the above-entitled case has been set for Trial Setting Conference at the court located at 247 W. 3RD ST SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92415-0210.

HEARING DATE: 02/20/19 at 8:30 in Dept. S22

DATE: 08/20/18 Nancy Eberhardt, Court Executive Officer

By: DAISY MONDRAGON

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I am a Deputy Clerk of the Superior Court for the County of San Bernardino at the above listed address. I am not a party to this action and on the date and place shown below, I served a copy of the above listed notice:

\_\_\_\_\_

- () Enclosed in a sealed envelope mailed to the interested party addressed above, for collection and mailing this date, following standard Court practices.
- () Enclosed in a sealed envelope, first class postage prepaid in the U.S. mail at the location shown above, mailed to the interested party and addressed as shown above, or as shown on the attached listing.
- ( ) A copy of this notice was given to the filing party at the counter ( ) A copy of this notice was placed in the bin located at this office and identified as the location for the above law firm's collection of file stamped documents.

Date of Mailing: 08/20/18

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on 08/20/18 at San Bernardino, CA

BY: DAISY MONDRAGON

## SUMMONS (CITACION JUDICIAL)

## NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: (AVISO AL DEMANDADO):

JACUZZI INC. dba JACUZZI LUXURY BATH; AITHR DEALERS, INC.; FIRSTSTREET FOR BOOMERS AND BEYOND, INC.; and DOES 1 to 100, inclusive

### YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF:

(LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE):

TRACE SMITH and BRIAN SMITH, individually and as Successors in Interest to MACK SMITH, deceased, and BARBARA SMITH, deceased,

FOR COURT USE ONLY (SOLO PARA USO DE LA CORTE)

FILED
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO
SAN BERNARDINO DISTRICT

AUG 2 0 2018

BY	8
	DAISY MONDRAGON, DEPUTY

NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information below.

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association. NOTE: The court has a statutory lien for waived fees and costs on any settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lien must be paid before the court will dismiss the case. [AVISOI Lo han demandado. Si no responde dentro de 30 días, la corte puede decidir en su contra sin escuchar su versión. Lea la información a continuación.

Tiene 30 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefónica no lo protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted pueda usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede más cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte que le dé un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin más advertencia.

Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services, (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.sucorte.ca.gov) o poniéndose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales. AVISO: Por ley, la corte tiene derecho a reclamar las cuotas y los costos exentos por imponer un gravamen sobre cualquier recuperación de \$10,000 ó más de valor recibida mediante un acuerdo o una concesión de arbitraje en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que pagar el gravamen de la corte antes de que la corte pueda desechar el caso.

The name and address of the court is: Superior Court of Riverside County- Riverside (El nombre y dirección de la corte es): Historic Courthouse, 4050 Main Street, Riverside,

E NUMBER					
CIV	DS1	821	7	Ü	il man in

	CA 92501		L.	IV Port and a sea game a f give	Ji U <sub>relas</sub> ji
(El nombre, la dirección y el nu	hone number of plaintiff's attorney, úmero de teléfono del abogado de ENTER, ZUCKERMAN & ROW ii, CA 93023, (805) 272-4001	l demandante, o del d			s):
DATE:		Clerk, by (Secretario)	Daisy	Mondragen	, Deputy <i>(Adjunto)</i>
	Mons, use Proof of Service of Susta citatión use el formulario Proof  NOTICE TO THE PERSON SE  1 as an individual defend 2 as the person sued un	of Service of Summon  RVED: You are served  dant.	ns, <i>(POS-01</i> d		
COK		defunct corporation) association or partners	ship)	CCP 416.60 (minor) CCP 416.70 (conservat CCP 416.90 (authorized	

1 2 3 4	Nicholas C. Rowley, Esq. (SBN 220036) John A. Kawai, Esq. (SBN 260120) CARPENTER, ZUCKERMAN & ROWI 407 Bryant Circle, Suite F, Ojai, CA 93023 Tel: (805) 272-4001 Fax: (805) 719-6858	LEY, LLP  SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO SAN BERNARDINO DISTRICT  AUG 2 0 2018	
5 6 7 8	Benjamin P. Cloward, Esq. (Nevada Bar No RICHARD HARRIS LAW FIRM 801 South Fourth Street Las Vegas, NV 89101 Tel: (702) 444-4444 Fax: (702) 444-4458 [Pro Hac Vice Application Pending]	DAISY MONDRAGON, DEPUTY	
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Charles H. Allen, Esq. (Georgia Bar No. 00) CHARLES ALLEN LAW FIRM 3575 Piedmont Road, NE Building 15, Suite L-130 Atlanta, GA 30305 Tel: (404) 419-6674 Fax: (866) 639-0287 [Pro Hac Vice Application Pending] Attorneys for Plaintiffs, TRACE SMITH and BRIAN SMITH, indiv as Successors in Interest to MACK SMITH, and BARBARA SMITH, deceased.	idually and	
17	SUPERIOR COURT OF	F THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
18	FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO		
19			
20	TRACE SMITH and BRIAN SMITH, individually and as Successors in Interest	CASE NO.: CIV DS1821780	
21	to MACK SMITH, deceased, and BARBARA SMITH, deceased,	COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES	
22	Plaintiffs,	COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES	
23	,		
24	v. JACUZZI INC. dba JACUZZI LUXURY		
25	BATH; AITHR DEALERS, INC.; FIRSTSTREET FOR BOOMERS AND		
26	BEYOND, INC.; and DOES 1 to 100, inclusive,		
27	Defendants.		
28	Definition.		
		1	

Carpenter, Zuckerman, & Rowley LLP COME NOW Plaintiffs, TRACE SMITH and BRIAN SMITH, individually and as Successors in Interest to MACK SMITH, deceased, and BARBARA SMITH, deceased ("Plaintiffs"), by and through their attorneys and for their causes of action against all Defendants, and each of them, allege as follows:

Ι

#### GENERAL ALLEGATIONS.

- 1. At all times herein, Plaintiff TRACE SMITH is and was a competent adult residing in the State of Georgia.
- 2. At all times herein, Plaintiff BRIAN SMITH is and was a competent adult residing in the State of Florida.
- 3. At all times herein, BARBARA SMITH was the spouse of Decedent MACK SMITH ("Decedent" hereinafter refers to MACK SMITH), and the mother of Plaintiffs TRACE SMITH and BRIAN SMITH, and inherited Decedent's right to sue for claims incurred during his lifetime.
- 4. Upon the death of BARBARA SMITH, Plaintiffs TRACE SMITH and BRIAN SMITH inherited BARBARA SMITH's right to sue for claims incurred during her lifetime. This included BARBARA SMITH's individual claims for the wrongful death of MACK SMITH, as well as MACK SMITH's survival claims.
- 5. As such, Plaintiffs TRACE SMITH and BRIAN SMITH ("Plaintiffs") bring 1) their own individual claims for the wrongful death of MACK SMITH, as well as 2) survival claims on behalf of their mother BARBARA SMITH for the losses she incurred individually as a result of the wrongful death of MACK SMITH; and 3) the survival claims of MACK SMITH that were inherited by BARBARA SMITH, and which Plaintiffs inherited when BARBARA SMITH died.

Carpenter, Zuckerman, & Rowley LLP

6. At	all times relevant hereto, upon information and belief, Defendant
JACUZZI, INC.	dba JACUZZI LUXURY BATH (hereinafter "JACUZZI") is and was a
Delaware corpora	ation that is domiciled in California, as its headquarters and corporate nerve
center is located:	in Chino Hills, County of San Bernardino, California.

- 7. At all times relevant hereto, upon information and belief, Defendant AITHR DEALERS, INC. is and was a corporation doing business in the State of California.
- 8. At all times relevant hereto, upon information and belief, Defendant FIRSTSTREET FOR BOOMERS AND BEYOND, INC. is and was a corporation doing business in the State of California.
- 9. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate or otherwise of Defendants sued herein as DOES 1-200 are unknown to Plaintiffs at this time, who therefore sue said Defendants by such fictitious names; and leave of court will be requested to amend this complaint to show their true names and capacities when such information has been ascertained.
- 10. At all times herein mentioned, each of the Defendants named as a DOE, was, and still is, legally responsible in some manner for the events and happenings herein referred to and proximately caused all injuries and damages to Plaintiff, thereby, as herein alleged.

#### П

#### GENERAL ALLEGATIONS.

11. Defendant JACUZZI, through its subsidiaries, upon information and belief was a global manufacturer and distributor of branded bath and plumbing products for the residential, commercial and institutional markets. These include but are not limited to whirlpool baths, spas, showers, sanitary ware and bathtubs, as well as professional grade drainage, water control,

commercial faucets and other plumbing products, and the manufacturer, supplier and/or installer of the Jacuzzi walk-in tub, being utilized by the deceased, MACK SMITH in his residence, and who marketed its product to the elderly and individuals who were overweight or had physical limitation.

- 12. Defendant AITHR DEALERS, INC., upon information and belief was and is a general contractor, supplier and/or installer of the Jacuzzi walk-in tub that was used by Decedent MACK SMITH in his residence.
- 13. Defendant FIRSTSTREET FOR BOOMERS AND BEYOND, INC., upon information and belief, was and is a retailer of home improvement products and unique gifts and the manufacturer, supplier, and/or installer of the Jacuzzi walk-in tub that was used by Decedent MACK SMITH in his residence.
- 14. Defendants DOES 1 to 200 are the employees, manufacturers, designers, component part manufacturers, installers, owners, distributors, repairers, maintainers, warned for use, retailers, and/or warrantors of said defective product as set forth herein.
- 15. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that at all relevant times herein, Defendants, and each of them, were the agents and/or servants and/or employees and/or partners and/or joint venture partners and/or employers of the remaining Defendants and were acting within the course and scope of such agency, employment, partnership or joint venture and with the knowledge and consent of the remaining Defendants.
- 16. The Jacuzzi tub's dangerous design made it a death trap for the elderly and others with physical limitations to which the tub was marketed.
- 17. In or about July 2013, Decedent MACK SMITH entered into a contract for the purchase and installation of a Jacuzzi walk-in tub.
  - 18. On or about September 12, 2016 Decedent MACK SMITH was using the Jacuzzi

27

Carpenter. Zuckerman, &

Rowley

28

walk-in tub, and had his feet on the edge of the tub and was enjoying the advertised features of the tub.

- 19. Because of the dangerous design of the tub, MACK SMITH was unable to get up out of the tub to prevent himself from drowning, which caused him great stress causing him to suffer a medical episode.
- Because of the dangerous design of the tub, despite her best efforts, BARBARA 20. SMITH was unable to remove MACK SMITH from the tub to prevent him from drowning.
- 21. Because of the dangerous design of the tub, despite her best efforts BARBARA SMITH was unable to remove a sufficient quantity of water from the tub to prevent MACK SMITH from drowning.
- 22. Because of the dangerous design of the tub, MACK SMITH drowned. He was transported immediately to the hospital, where he eventually died of the drowning injuries on December 14, 2016.
- 23. Venue is appropriate because at least one defendant (JACUZZI) is a citizen of San Bernardino County, California.

#### Ш

### CAUSES OF ACTION.

## **First Cause Of Action:** STRICT PRODUCT LIABILITY As To All Defendants

- 24. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference each and every allegation made above in this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 25. Defendants, and each of them, are the manufacturers, designers, component part manufacturers, installers, owners, distributors, repairers, maintainers, retailers, warned for use, warrantors of said defective product as set forth herein.

- 26. Upon information and belief, Defendants, and each of them, sold the subject product and failed to warn Plaintiffs of the hazards of the use of the subject product.
- 27. At the time of this incident, the product had a design and/or manufacturing defect that rendered the product unreasonably dangerous and potentially deadly.
- 28. The defect, which rendered it unreasonably dangerous, existed at the time the subject product and its component parts left the care, custody and control of the above named Defendants and DOE Defendants
- 29. The Defendants and/or DOE Defendants, knew or should have known of the subject product's defect which rendered it unreasonably dangerous at the time of placing the subject product into the stream of commerce and failed to undertake measures to prohibit it from entering into the stream of commerce and into the hands of users such as Decedent, including warnings of the risks for product failure, proper use and maintenance of the product and proper inspection of the product for potential hazards and/or defects.
- 30. The subject product was defective due to Defendants, and each of their failure to warn of the potential dangers associated with using said product.
- 31. Said product was defective due to a manufacturers' defect, design defect, or defect due to lack of adequate warnings.
- 32. The Jacuzzi walk-in tub was defective as a result of its design which rendered the product unreasonably dangerous.
- 33. That the Jacuzzi walk-in tub was unreasonably dangerous and defective because it lacked suitable and adequate warnings concerning its safe and proper use which rendered the product unreasonably dangerous.

	34.	That the Jacuzzi walk-in tub failed to perform as safely as an ordinary consumer
such a	ıs MACK	SMITH, would expect when used in an intended or reasonably foreseeable
manne	er, which	rendered the product defective and unreasonably dangerous.

- 35. Moreover, the tub's design embodied excessive preventable danger when used in the intended or a reasonably foreseeable way, in that on balance the risk of danger inherent in the design of the Jacuzzi walk-in tub outweighed the benefits of its design.
- 36. The failure to warn by Defendants, and each of them, was a proximate cause of MACK SMITH's injuries and death.
- 37. Said product's manufacturing and/or design defect was the proximate cause of MACK SMITH's injuries and resulting death.
- 38. Said product's manufacturing and/or design defect was the proximate cause of MACK SMITH's injuries and resulting death.
- 39. The wrongful conduct of Defendants, and each of them, was a legal, actual, and proximate cause of MACK SMITH's injuries and ultimate death.

## Second Cause Of Action: NEGLIGENCE As To All Defendants

- 40. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference each and every allegation made above in this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 41. Defendants owed a duty to Decedent MACK SMITH, and others similarly situated, to ensure that their product, and particularly the Jacuzzi walk-in tub was properly functioning and safe for use by the end consumer.
- 42. Defendants, and each of them, while in the course and scope of their employment and/or agency with other Defendants, negligently failed to failed to warn

Decedent MACK SMITH of safety hazards which resulted in MACK SMITH's injuries and resulting death.

- 43. Defendants, and each of them, knew or should have known that unreasonably dangerous conditions existed with the Jacuzzi walk-in tub, being used by Decedent, namely the inability to get back up or exit the tub.
- 44. Defendants owed a duty of due care to Plaintiffs, and others similarly situated, in the design, testing, manufacture, installation, assembly, marketing, instructions for use and warnings for the subject Jacuzzi walk-in tub.
- 45. Defendants breached their duty of due care by their negligent, careless, wanton, willful, and indifferent failure to act including, but not limited to:
  - a. The negligent and improper design, testing, manufacture, installation assembly, instructions for use and warnings for the Jacuzzi walk-in tub; and
  - b. The failure to provide adequate, accurate, and effective warnings and instructions to owners, operators, and users of the subject Jacuzzi walk-in tub.
- 46. The wrongful conduct of Defendants, and each of them, was a legal, actual, and proximate cause of MACK SMITH's injuries and ultimate death.

# Third Cause Of Action: BREACH OF EXPRESS WARRANTIES As To All Defendants

- 47. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference each and every allegation made above in this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 48. Defendants, and each of them, expressly warranted that the walk-in Jacuzzi tub was free from defects and was safe for us.

1

2

- Defendants, and each of them, breached the express warranties, and such breach 49. was the proximate and legal cause of the failure of the walk-in tub.
- The wrongful conduct of Defendants, and each of them, was a legal, actual, and 50. proximate cause of MACK SMITH's injuries and ultimate death.

## **Fourth Cause Of Action:** BREACK OF IMPLIED WARRANTY OF FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE As To All Defendants

- 51. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference each and every allegation made above in this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 52. Defendants, and each of them, impliedly warranted that the walk-in Jacuzzi tub was fit to be used for a particular purpose and was safe for use.
- 53. Defendants had reason to know: (a) The particular purpose for which the walk-in tub would be used, and (b) That MACK SMITH was relying on Defendants' skill and judgment to provide a suitable product.
- 54. Defendants, and each of them, implicitly warranted that the walk-in bathtub was fit for a particular purpose for which it was required and that it was safe for MACK SMITH to use in the manner contemplated.
- 55. Defendants, and each of them, breached their implied warranty of fitness for a particular purpose, and such breach was the proximate and legal cause of the failure of the walkin tub.
- 56. The wrongful conduct of Defendants, and each of them, was a legal, actual, and proximate cause of MACK SMITH's injuries and ultimate death.

Zuckerman, &

Rowley LLP

# Fifth Cause Of Action: BREACH OF IMPLIED WARRANTY OF MERCHANTIBILITY As To All Defendants

- 57. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference each and every allegation made above in this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 58. Defendants, and each of them, breached the implied warranty of merchantibility, and such breach was the proximate and legal cause of the failure of the walk-in tub.
- 59. The wrongful conduct of Defendants, and each of them, was a legal, actual, and proximate cause of MACK SMITH's injuries and ultimate death.

# PUNITIVE DAMAGES As To JACUZZI; AITHR DEALERS, INC.; and FIRSTSTREET BOOMERS AND BEYOND, INC.

- 60. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference each and every allegation made above in this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 61. The Defendants, and each of them, knew or should have known of the subject product's defect which rendered it unreasonably dangerous at the time of placing the subject product into the stream of commerce and failed to undertake measures to prohibit it from entering into the stream of commerce and into the hands of users, including warnings of the risks for product failure, proper use and maintenance of the product and proper inspection of the product for potential hazards and/or defects.
- 62. Defendants' conduct was wrongful because Defendants engaged in malice, oppression, and fraud toward individuals like MACK SMITH who purchased and used the walk-in bathtub, and said conduct was despicable. The conduct of Defendants, and each of them, amounted to "despicable conduct" in that it was carried on with a willful and conscious disregard of the rights or safety of others, including consumers such as MACK

SMITH and other members of the public.

- 63. Specifically, Defendants market the walk-in tub to elderly individuals like MACK SMITH who are weak, feeble and at a significant risk for falling down.
- 64. Defendants advertise that millions of Americans with mobility concerns know that simply taking a bath can be a hazardous experience.
- 65. Defendants advertise that the solution to having a hazardous experience while taking a bath is the Jacuzzi Walk-in Tub.
- 66. Defendants advertise that those who purchase a walk-in tub can feel safe and feel better with every bath.
- 67. Defendants advertise that the Jacuzzi bathtub is an industry leader with regard to safety of those who use the walk-in tub.
- 68. Defendants advertise that the unique bathtubs can make the user's experience a pain and stress reducing pleasure.
- 69. Defendants advertise that the tall tub walls allow neck-deep immersion and the same full body soak as in a natural hot spring or regular hot tub.
- 70. Defendants advertise that getting out of the tub is easy like getting out of a chair and that it is nothing like climbing up from the bottom of the user's old tub.
- 71. Despite knowing that the users of the Jacuzzi walk-in bathtub are weak, feeble and at a significant risk for falling down, Defendants did nothing to plan for the foreseeable event of having a user like MACK SMITH be unable to get up from the tub and thereby suffer a medical episode while inside the walk-in bathtub.
- 72. Defendants did not use reasonable care in the design of the bathtub by providing a safe way for users who have a medical episode while using the Jacuzzi walk-in

bathtub to safely exit the bathtub.

- 73. Defendants knew of the heightened risk of having users like MACK SMITH be unable to get up or out from the tub and thereby suffer a medical episode inside the Jacuzzi walk-in bathtub and even drown, but did nothing to alleviate that risk.
- 74. Defendants knew of the heightened risk of having users like MACK SMITH be unable to get up or out from the tub and thereby suffer a medical episode inside the Jacuzzi walk-in bathtub and even drown, but did nothing to mitigate that risk.
- 75. Defendants knew of the heightened risk of having users like MACK SMITH be unable to get up or out from the tub and thereby suffer a medical episode inside the Jacuzzi walk-in bathtub and even drown, but did nothing to reduce that risk.
- 76. In fact, Defendants knew of feasible alternative designs for a walk-in bathtub that were much safer to users like MACK SMITH who were at a substantial risk of being unable to get up or out from the tub and thereby suffer a medical episode inside the Jacuzzi walk-in bathtub and even drown, but chose against implementing those feasible alternative designs for increased profitability.
- 77. Because of Defendants' conscious choices to put profits before safety, the Jacuzzi walk-in bathtub is a death trap for nearly any elderly person who happens to fall down or have a medical episode inside the bathtub because there are no grab bars positioned in a way that someone can get back up if they fall down or brace themselves when they are unable to get up or out of the tub and thereby suffer a medical episode or even drown because the door opens inward and traps the elderly person inside the bathtub.
- 78. In summary, Defendants, and each of them, were aware of the probable dangerous consequences of their conduct and willfully and deliberately failed to avoid those consequences.

IV

#### PRAYER.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for judgment be entered against Defendants, as follows:

- 1. General damages for Plaintiffs' individual claims and the survival claims of BARBARA SMITH and MACK SMITH in an amount to be proven at trial;
- 2. Compensatory damages in an amount to be proven at trial;
- 3. Special damages for MACK SMITH's medical expenses in an amount to be proven at trial;
- 4. Punitive damages in an amount to be proven at trial;
- 5. For prejudgment interest;
- 6. For reasonable attorneys' fees;
- 7. For costs incurred herein; and
- 8. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper in this action.

DATED: August 17, 2018

CARPENTER, ZUCKERMAN & ROWLEY, LLP

By:

JOHN'A. KAWAI, ESQ.

Attorneys for Plaintiffs,

TRACE SMITH and BRIAN SMITH, individually and as Successors in Interest to MACK SMITH, deceased, and BARBARA SMITH, deceased

Carpenter, Zuckerman, & Rowley

LLP

CM-010 ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address). FOR COURT USE ONLY John A. Kawai, Esq. (SBN 260120) CARPENTER, ZUCKERMAN & ROWLEY 407 Bryant Circle, Suite F, Ojai, CA 93023 FAX NO.: 805.719.6858 FILEC SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Plaintiff, NANCY BROWN SAN BERNARDINO DISTRICT SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO STREET ADDRESS: 4050 Main Street AUG 2 0 2018 MAILING ADDRESS: 4050 Main Street CITY AND ZIP CODE: Riverside, 92501 BRANCH NAME CASE NAME: DAISY MONDRAGON, DEPUTY TRACE SMITH v. JACUZZI INC. dba JACUZZI LUXURY BATH, et al. CASE NUMBER: CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET Complex Case Designation X Unlimited Limited Counter Joinder (Amount (Amount JUDGE demanded demanded is Filed with first appearance by defendant exceeds \$25,000) \$25,000 or less) (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402) DEPT Items 1-6 below must be completed (see instructions on page 2). 1. Check **one** box below for the case type that best describes this case: **Auto Tort** Contract **Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation** (Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.400-3.403) Auto (22) Breach of contract/warranty (06) Rule 3.740 collections (09) Antitrust/Trade regulation (03) Uninsured motorist (46) Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property Construction defect (10) Other collections (09) Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort Mass tort (40) Insurance coverage (18) Asbestos (04) Securities litigation (28) Other contract (37) Product liability (24) Environmental/Toxic tort (30) Real Property Medical malpractice (45) Eminent domain/Inverse Insurance coverage claims arising from the Other PI/PD/WD (23) condemnation (14) above listed provisionally complex case types (41) Wrongful eviction (33) Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort Other real property (26) **Enforcement of Judgment** Business tort/unfair business practice (07) Civil rights (08) Enforcement of judgment (20) Unlawful Detainer Commercial (31) Defamation (13) Miscellaneous Civil Complaint Residential (32) Fraud (16) **RICO (27)** Drugs (38) Intellectual property (19) Other complaint (not specified above) (42) Professional negligence (25) Judicial Review Miscellaneous Civil Petition Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35) Asset forfeiture (05) Partnership and corporate governance (21) Petition re: arbitration award (11) Employment Other petition (not specified above) (43) Wrongful termination (36) Writ of mandate (02) Other employment (15) Other judicial review (39) is X is not complex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court. If the case is complex, mark the factors requiring exceptional judicial management: Large number of separately represented parties Large number of witnesses b. Extensive motion practice raising difficult or novel Coordination with related actions pending in one or more courts issues that will be time-consuming to resolve in other counties, states, or countries, or in a federal court Substantial amount of documentary evidence Substantial postjudgment judicial supervision 3. Remedies sought (check all that apply): a. X monetary c. X punitive nonmonetary; declaratory or injunctive relief 4. Number of causes of action (specify): X is not a class action suit. This case \_ is If there are any known related cases, file and serve a notice of related case. (You may use form CM-015.) Date: August 17, 2018

John A. Kawai, Esq

NOTICE

· Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the first paper filed in the action or proceeding (except small claims cases or cases filed under the Probate Code, Family Code, or Welfare and Institutions Code). (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result

• File this cover sheet in addition to any cover sheet required by local court rule.

 If this case is complex under rule 3.400 et seg. of the California Rules of Court, you must serve a copy of this cover sheet on all other parties to the action or proceeding.

Unless this is a collections case under rule 3.740 or a complex case, this cover sheet will be used for statistical purposes only.

ATTORNEY FOR PARTY)

#### INSTRUCTIONS ON HOW TO COMPLETE THE COVER SHEET

To Plaintiffs and Others Filing First Papers. If you are filing a first paper (for example, a complaint) in a civil case, you must complete and file, along with your first paper, the Civil Case Cover Sheet contained on page 1. This information will be used to compile statistics about the types and numbers of cases filed. You must complete items 1 through 6 on the sheet. In item 1, you must check one box for the case type that best describes the case. If the case fits both a general and a more specific type of case listed in item 1, check the more specific one. If the case has multiple causes of action, check the box that best indicates the primary cause of action. To assist you in completing the sheet, examples of the cases that belong under each case type in item 1 are provided below. A cover sheet must be filed only with your initial paper. Failure to file a cover sheet with the first paper filed in a civil case may subject a party, its counsel, or both to sanctions under rules 2.30 and 3.220 of the California Rules of Court.

To Parties in Rule 3.740 Collections Cases. A "collections case" under rule 3.740 is defined as an action for recovery of money owed in a sum stated to be certain that is not more than \$25,000, exclusive of interest and attorney's fees, arising from a transaction in which property, services, or money was acquired on credit. A collections case does not include an action seeking the following: (1) tort damages, (2) punitive damages, (3) recovery of real property, (4) recovery of personal property, or (5) a prejudgment writ of attachment. The identification of a case as a rule 3.740 collections case on this form means that it will be exempt from the general time-for-service requirements and case management rules, unless a defendant files a responsive pleading. A rule 3.740 collections case will be subject to the requirements for service and obtaining a judgment in rule 3.740.

To Parties in Complex Cases. In complex cases only, parties must also use the Civil Case Cover Sheet to designate whether the case is complex. If a plaintiff believes the case is complex under rule 3,400 of the California Rules of Court, this must be indicated by completing the appropriate boxes in items 1 and 2. If a plaintiff designates a case as complex, the cover sheet must be served with the complaint on all parties to the action. A defendant may file and serve no later than the time of its first appearance a joinder in the plaintiffs designation, a counter-designation that the case is not complex, or, if the plaintiff has made no designation, a designation that the case is complex.

#### **Auto Tort**

Auto (22)-Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death Uninsured Motorist (46) (if the case involves an uninsured motorist claim subject to arbitration, check this item instead of Auto)

## Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/ Property Damage/Wrongful Death)

Asbestos (04)

Asbestos Property Damage Asbestos Personal Injury/ Wrongful Death

Product Liability (not asbestos or toxic/environmental) (24)

Medical Malpractice (45)

Medical Malpractice-

Physicians & Surgeons Other Professional Health Care

Malpractice

Other PI/PD/WD (23) Premises Liability (e.g., slip

and fall)

Intentional Bodily Injury/PD/WD

(e.g., assault, vandalism)

Intentional Infliction of

**Emotional Distress** 

Negligent Infliction of **Emotional Distress** 

Other PI/PD/WD

#### Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort

**Business Tort/Unfair Business** 

Practice (07)

Civil Rights (e.g., discrimination,

false arrest) (not civil

harassment) (08) Defamation (e.g., slander, libel)

(13) Fraud (16)

Intellectual Property (19)

Professional Negligence (25)

Legal Malpractice

Other Professional Malpractice

(not medical or legal)
Other Non-PI/PD/WD Tort (35)

#### **Employment**

CM-010 [Rev. July 1, 2007]

Wrongful Termination (36) Other Employment (15)

#### **CASE TYPES AND EXAMPLES**

#### Contract

Breach of Contract/Warranty (06)

Breach of Rental/Lease

Contract (not unlawful detainer or wrongful eviction)

Contract/Warranty Breach-Seller

Plaintiff (not fraud or negligence)

**Negligent Breach of Contract/** 

Warranty

Other Breach of Contract/Warranty

Collections (e.g., money owed, open

book accounts) (09)

Collection Case-Seller Plaintiff

Other Promissory Note/Collections Case

Insurance Coverage (not provisionally

complex) (18) **Auto Subrogation** 

Other Coverage

Other Contract (37)

Contractual Fraud

Other Contract Dispute

#### **Real Property**

Eminent Domain/Inverse

Condemnation (14)

Wrongful Eviction (33)

Other Real Property (e.g., quiet title) (26) Writ of Possession of Real Property

Mortgage Foreclosure

**Quiet Title** 

Other Real Property (not eminent

domain, landlord/tenant, or

#### foreclosure) **Unlawful Detainer**

Commercial (31)

Residential (32)

Drugs (38) (if the case involves illegal drugs, check this item; otherwise,

report as Commercial or Residential)

#### Judicial Review

Asset Forfeiture (05)

Petition Re: Arbitration Award (11)

Writ of Mandate (02)
Writ–Administrative Mandamus

Writ-Mandamus on Limited Court

Case Matter

Writ-Other Limited Court Case

Review

Other Judicial Review (39)
Review of Health Officer Order

Notice of Appeal-Labor

**Commissioner Appeals** 

#### Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal. Rules of Court Rules 3.400-3.403)

Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03)

Construction Defect (10)

Claims Involving Mass Tort (40)

Securities Litigation (28) Environmental/Toxic Tort (30)

Insurance Coverage Claims (arising from provisionally complex

case type listed above) (41)

### **Enforcement of Judgment**

Enforcement of Judgment (20)

Abstract of Judgment (Out of

Confession of Judgment (non-

domestic relations)

Sister State Judgment

Administrative Agency Award

(not unpaid taxes)

Petition/Certification of Entry of Judgment on Unpaid Taxes

Other Enforcement of Judgment Case

## Miscellaneous Civil Complaint

**RICO (27)** 

Other Complaint (not specified above) (42)

Declaratory Relief Only Injunctive Relief Only (non-

harassment)

Mechanics Lien

Other Commercial Complaint

Case (non-tort/non-complex)

Other Civil Complaint

(non-tort/non-complex)

#### Miscellaneous Civil Petition Partnership and Corporate

Governance (21)

Other Petition (not specified

above) (43) Civil Harassment

Workplace Violence

Elder/Dependent Adult

Abuse

**Election Contest** 

Petition for Name Change Petition for Relief From Late

Other Civil Petition

## SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO

TRACE SMITH, et al.	CIV DS1821780 
vs.	CERTIFICATE OF ASSIGNMENT
JACUZZI INC. dba JACUZZI LUXURY B	ATH, et al.
A civil action or proceeding prese residence of a party, name and re	nted for filing must be accompanied by this Certificate. If the ground is the sidence shall be stated.
	the above-entitled matter is filed for proceedings in the District of the Superior Court under Rule 404 of this court for the
Nature of Action  1. Adoption 2. Conservator 3. Contract 4. Equity 5. Eminent Domain 6. Family Law 7. Guardianship 8. Harassment 9. Mandate 10. Name Change 11. Personal Injury 12. Personal Property 13. Probate  14. Prohibition 15. Review 16. Title to Real Property 17. Transferred Action 18. Unlawful Detainer 19. Domestic Violence 20. Other 21. THIS FILING WOULD	Petitioner resides within the district Petitioner or conservatee resides within the district. Performance in the district is expressly provided for. The cause of action arose within the district. The property is located within the district. Plaintiff, defendant, petitioner or respondent resides within the district. Petitioner or ward resides within the district or has property within the district. Plaintiff, defendant, petitioner or respondent resides within the district. The defendant functions wholly within the district. The petitioner resides within the district. The injury occurred within the district. The property is located within the district. Decedent resided or resides within the district or had property within the district. The defendant functions wholly within the district. The defendant functions wholly within the district. The property is located within the district. The petitioner, defendant, plaintiff or respondent resides within the district. NORMALLY FALL WITHIN JURISDICTION OF SUPERIOR COURT
The address of the accident, performs case for filing in the above-destructional lacuzzi Inc.,  NAME - INDICATE TITLE OR OTHER QUALIFYIE	13925 City Center Drive, Suite 200
Chino Hills, CA 91709	STATE ZIP CODE
I declare, under penalty of perjury, on17th of August, 2018	that the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed  at, California

**CERTIFICATE OF ASSIGNMENT**